



INDIANA UNIVERSITY
SOUTH BEND

**Community Attitudes and Experiences with Sexual
Assault and Misconduct - Survey Report**

Spring 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	1
Executive Summary	2
Summary of Findings	2
I. Sexual Misconduct Experiences	4
Key Findings	4
Sexual Misconduct Experiences Before and Since Coming to IUSB	5
Additional Disclosures about Experiences Since Coming to IUSB.....	12
II. Student Attitudes, Beliefs, and Educational Experiences	20
Key Findings	20
Perceptions of Campus Environment	21
Trainings on Sexual Misconduct, Bystander Intervention, and Campus Resources.....	24
Experiences Discussing and Understanding Consent.....	26
Perceptions of Alcohol and Consent.....	28
III. Engagement in Sexual Misconduct Prevention.....	29
Key Findings	29
Perceptions of Sexual Violence	29
Bystander Experiences and Engagement.....	30
Bystander Intervention Confidence	32
IV. Implications of Survey Findings	33
Appendix A: Survey Methodology	34
Appendix B: Demographic Characteristics of Survey Participants.....	36
Appendix C: Additional Questions Asked	40
References	42

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

As part of Indiana University’s ongoing and comprehensive commitment to effectively addressing the issue of sexual assault, IU distributed a climate survey on sexual assault and sexual misconduct to students on the Indiana University South Bend (IUSB) campus in Spring 2019. IU South Bend’s Community Attitudes and Experiences with Sexual Assault and Misconduct Survey asked students about their attitudes, perceptions, and direct experiences with sexual assault and other forms of sexual misconduct, as well as their opinions on university resources and practices related to preventing and responding to instances of sexual misconduct. The information gathered through this anonymous survey and shared in this report has already been, and will continue to be, used to inform the university’s ongoing prevention, education, and response efforts and to move us closer to the ultimate goal of eliminating sexual violence that affects our campus community.

While 1,134 students — approximately 25 percent of the student population — responded to some part of the survey, the results set forth in this report were compiled from the 904 students (“participants”) who completed at least 50 percent of the survey, were 18 years or older, were not online-only students, and who self-identified their sex/gender as male or female. This report total represents approximately 20 percent of the total student population on the IU South Bend campus. Of participants whose answers are reported here, 90.5 percent were undergraduate students and 9.5 percent were graduate students; 75.4 percent were women and 24.6 percent were men.

Questions in the survey were organized into three different categories: (1) Sexual misconduct experiences (before and since coming to IUSB); (2) attitudes, beliefs and educational experiences related to sexual misconduct; and (3) engagement in sexual violence prevention efforts. Having a better understanding of prevalence rates of students’ sexual misconduct experiences are critical for informing both prevention and response efforts.

The complete data and summary of key findings can be found in the following report. It should be noted that, as with any voluntary study, the data collected and set forth in this report is reflective only of the participants who responded and participated in the survey. Response bias is expected, given the sensitive nature of the topic and the specific focus on sexual violence. The data cannot therefore be understood to be a complete representation of the experiences of undergraduate and graduate students at Indiana University South Bend. Regardless, we look to this data, and the responses shared by the participants, as important to our understanding of experiences and perceptions.

We are grateful to the students who participated in this survey. The information they shared will continue to be used to inform the work conducted on the IU South Bend campus to prevent and respond to sexual misconduct. For more information about campus resources, policies, and procedures, visit stopsexualviolence.iu.edu.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The following report includes complete findings of Indiana University South Bend’s 2019 sexual misconduct campus climate survey, including highlights of the data as well as analyses including tables and graphs noting student participant responses to specific survey questions. The percentages included in the narrative text in the report have been rounded to the nearest whole number. Refer to the tables for exact percentages. Undergraduate women are identified as “UW”; graduate women are identified as “GW”; undergraduate men are identified as “UM”; graduate men are identified as “GM.” Participants were given the option to select “I prefer not to answer” in many questions of the survey due to the sensitive nature of the subject matter, and were only asked certain questions based on previous answers, resulting in fluctuating number of responses per question. A complete description of the survey methodology and participant demographics is included in appendices A–B. Tables include the frequencies of responses with sample sizes (n) included to identify the number of participants who answered questions. In instances

where the sample size (n) is less than 10, cells have been masked. If participants saw the displayed question but never answered it or never returned to complete the survey, they were excluded from the sample size (n) reported for each question. The tables labeled with a “q” indicate that the table contains data on participants’ responses to open-ended survey questions. Due to time constraints and reporting purposes, only two open-ended questions were coded and included in this report. Participant answers to additional open-ended questions will be utilized to inform prevention and response efforts.

The overall findings are organized into three general sections:

- I. Sexual Misconduct Experiences
- II. Student Attitudes, Beliefs, and Educational Experiences
- III. Engagement in Sexual Violence Prevention

I. Sexual Misconduct Experiences

Participants were asked whether they had personally experienced the following types of sexual misconduct before coming to IUSB and since becoming a student at IUSB.

Nonconsensual sexual touching: touching that is sexual, such as someone fondled, kissed or rubbed up against the intimate areas of my body—i.e., genitals, breasts, buttocks—without my consent.

Nonconsensual attempted sexual penetration: someone attempted/tried to sexually penetrate me vaginally, orally, or anally with their penis, fingers, or other object without my consent.

Nonconsensual sexual penetration: someone sexually penetrated me vaginally, orally, or anally with their penis, fingers, or other object without my consent.

Stalking: repeated or continued harassment online and/or in person that made me feel frightened, intimidated, or threatened.

Domestic violence or dating violence: acts of abuse or violence, or threats of violence, in a marital, partnered, intimate, romantic, or familial relationship.

Sexual exploitation: an act or acts committed through non-consensual exploitation of my sexuality for any purpose including sexual gratification, financial gain, personal benefit, or other non-legitimate purposes. Examples include non-consensual sharing of sexual photographs or video, observing another person's sexual activity without consent, etc.

Sexual harassment: unwelcome sexual conduct or behavior that limited or denied my ability to participate in or benefit from my University education or employment.

Key Findings

- 21 percent of undergraduate men, 47 percent of undergraduate women, 38 percent of graduate men and 64 percent of graduate women participants reported experiencing non-consensual sexual touching *before* coming to IU South Bend. 6 percent of undergraduate men, 13 percent of undergraduate women, 13 percent of graduate men and 18 percent of graduate women participants reported experiencing non-consensual sexual touching *since* coming to IU South Bend.
- 4 percent of undergraduate men, 13 percent of undergraduate women, 8 percent of graduate men, 15 percent of graduate women participants reported experiencing sexual harassment *since* coming to IU South Bend.
- 6 percent of undergraduate women participants and 8 percent of graduate women participants reported experiencing non-consensual penetration (attempted or completed) since coming to IU South Bend.

Sexual Misconduct Experiences Before and Since Coming to IUSB

The “n” reported in the tables below indicates the number of participants who responded to the question. For privacy considerations, some cells have been masked (grayed cells) due to the low number of participants who answered the question (less than 10).

Table 1.1a: Did you experience any of the following: “Yes” (Undergraduate)	UM				UW			
	Before IU		Since IU		Before IU		Since IU	
	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Non-consensual sexual touching	20.5	195	5.6	196	46.6	618	13.4	618
Non-consensual attempted sexual penetration	8.7	195	1.5	196	26.1	617	5.2	619
Non-consensual sexual penetration	6.7	195	1.5	196	19.7	615	4.2	619
Stalking	8.2	195	2.1	195	30.3	617	10.5	617
Domestic violence or dating violence	13.4	194	4.6	195	26.6	617	8.9	619
Sexual exploitation	8.7	195	1.5	196	12.8	615	2.4	619
Sexual harassment	10.3	195	3.6	194	29.1	618	12.5	617

Table 1.1b: Did you experience any of the following: “Yes” (Graduate)	GM				GW			
	Before IU		Since IU		Before IU		Since IU	
	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Non-consensual sexual touching	37.5	24	12.5	24	63.9	61	18.0	61
Non-consensual attempted sexual penetration	12.5	24	4.2	24	34.4	61	6.6	61
Non-consensual sexual penetration	12.5	24	4.2	24	31.1	61	4.9	61
Stalking	16.7	24	4.2	24	24.6	61	6.6	61
Domestic violence or dating violence	8.3	24	8.3	24	37.7	61	16.4	61
Sexual exploitation	8.3	24	4.2	24	16.4	61	1.6	61
Sexual harassment	12.5	24	8.3	24	44.3	61	15.3	59

Table 1.2: Since coming to IUSB, rate of experiencing the following:	%UM (n=198)	%UW (n=620)	%GM (n=24)	%GW (n=62)
Non-consensual attempted sexual penetration or non-consensual sexual penetration	1.5	6.0	4.2	8.1

Participants who reported experiencing non-consensual sexual touching, non-consensual attempted sexual penetration, or non-consensual sexual penetration since coming to IUSB, received three additional questions about the most recent incident of each type of misconduct they reported experiencing regarding the other person’s association with IUSB, the location of the incident, and force, capacity, and consent.

For those participants who indicated experiencing domestic or dating violence, stalking, sexual exploitation, or sexual harassment since coming to IUSB, they received two additional questions about the incident of each type of misconduct they reported experiencing regarding the other person's association with IUSB and the location of the incident. Individuals who reported experiencing the conduct more than one time were asked to answer questions pertaining to the most recent sexual misconduct experience.

Table 1.3: For those reporting <u>non-consensual sexual touching</u> how is the person(s) who did these things to you associated with IUSB?	%UM (n=10)	%UW (n=79)	%GM (n=3)	%GW (n=9)
Not associated with IUSB	50.0	62.0		
Student	40.0	30.4		
University professor or instructor	0.0	0.0		
Staff or administrator	0.0	0.0		
Other person affiliated with an IUSB program (ex., Externship/Internship, clinical placement, study abroad)	0.0	1.3		
Don't know the person's association with IUSB	10.0	6.3		

Table 1.4: For those reporting <u>non-consensual sexual touching</u>, where did the incident occur?	%UM (n=10)	%UW (n=79)	%GM (n=3)	%GW (n=10)
On-campus residence hall	0.0	8.9		0.0
On-campus non-residence building	10.0	2.5		0.0
On-campus outside	10.0	2.5		10.0
Off-campus outside	30.0	13.9		20.0
Bar, club, restaurant	30.0	16.5		30.0
Off-campus residence (your own or other residence)	0.0	50.6		30.0
Other	20.0	5.1		10.0

Table 1.5: For those reporting <u>non-consensual sexual touching</u>, did you experience any of the following in connection with this incident? (Select all that apply)	%UM (n=8)	%UW (n=77)	%GM (n=3)	%GW (n=10)
I was forced or threatened with physical force		13.0		10.0
I was unable to consent (e.g., incapacitation due to drugs and/or alcohol, asleep, unconscious)		26.0		20.0
I felt coerced		45.5		30.0
I did not give affirmative consent verbally or otherwise		75.3		70.0
Other		7.8		20.0

Table 1.6: For those reporting <u>non-consensual attempted sexual penetration</u>, how is the person(s) who did these things to you associated with IUSB?	%UM (n=2)	%UW (n=30)	%GM (n=1)	%GW (n=2)
Not associated with IUSB		63.3		
Student		36.7		
University professor or instructor		0.0		
Staff or administrator		0.0		
Other person affiliated with an IUSB program (ex., Externship/Internship, clinical placement, study abroad)		0.0		
Don't know the person's association with IUSB		0.0		

Table 1.7: For those reporting <u>non-consensual attempted sexual penetration</u>, where did the incident occur?	%UM (n=2)	%UW (n=29)	%GM (n=1)	%GW (n=2)
On-campus residence hall		17.2		
On-campus non-residence building		0.0		
On-campus outside		0.0		
Off-campus outside		0.0		
Bar, club, restaurant		3.4		
Off-campus residence (your own or other residence)		72.4		
Other		6.9		

Table 1.8: For those reporting <u>non-consensual attempted sexual penetration</u>, did you experience any of the following in connection with this incident? (Select all that apply)	%UM (n=3)	%UW (n=29)	%GM (n=1)	%GW (n=3)
I was forced or threatened with physical force		13.8		
I was unable to consent (e.g., incapacitation due to drugs and/or alcohol, asleep, unconscious)		41.4		
I felt coerced		48.3		
I did not give affirmative consent verbally or otherwise		69.0		
Other		0.0		

Table 1.9: For those reporting <u>non-consensual sexual penetration</u>, how is the person(s) who did these things to you associated with IUSB?	%UM (n=2)	%UW (n=24)	%GM (n=1)	%GW (n=3)
Not associated with IUSB		70.8		
Student		29.2		
University professor or instructor		0.0		
Staff or administrator		0.0		
Other person affiliated with an IUSB program (ex., Externship/Internship, clinical placement, study abroad)		0.0		
Don't know the person's association with IUSB		0.0		

Table 1.10: For those reporting <u>non-consensual sexual penetration</u>, where did the incident occur?	%UM (n=2)	%UW (n=24)	%GM (n=1)	%GW (n=3)
On-campus residence hall		8.3		
On-campus non-residence building		0.0		
On-campus outside		0.0		
Off-campus outside		0.0		
Bar, club, restaurant		0.0		
Off-campus residence (your own or other residence)		87.5		
Other		4.2		

Table 1.11: For those reporting <u>non-consensual sexual penetration</u>, did you experience any of the following in connection with this incident?	%UM (n=2)	%UW (n=24)	%GM (n=1)	%GW (n=3)
I was forced or threatened with physical force		29.2		
I was unable to consent (e.g., incapacitation due to drugs and/or alcohol, asleep, unconscious)		37.5		
I felt coerced		54.2		
I did not give affirmative consent verbally or otherwise		70.8		
Other		0.0		

Table 1.12: For those reporting <u>stalking</u>, how is the person(s) who did these things to you associated with IUSB?	%UM (n=3)	%UW (n=65)	%GM (n=1)	%GW (n=3)
Not associated with IUSB		53.8		
Student		36.9		
University professor or instructor		3.1		
Staff or administrator		3.1		
Other person affiliated with an IUSB program (ex., Externship/Internship, clinical placement, study abroad)		0.0		
Don't know the person's association with IUSB		7.7		

Table 1.13: For those reporting <u>stalking</u>, where did the incident occur?	%UM (n=3)	%UW (n=63)	%GM (n=1)	%GW (n=3)
On-campus residence hall		17.5		
On-campus non-residence building		17.5		
On-campus outside		31.7		
Off-campus outside		30.2		
Bar, club, restaurant		11.1		
Off-campus residence (your own or other residence)		33.3		
Other		25.4		

Table 1.14: For those reporting <u>domestic violence or dating violence</u>, how is the person(s) who did these things to you associated with IUSB?	%UM (n=8)	%UW (n=53)	%GM (n=2)	%GW (n=9)
Not associated with IUSB		73.6		
Student		24.5		
University professor or instructor		0.0		
Staff or administrator		0.0		
Other person affiliated with an IUSB program (ex., Externship/Internship, clinical placement, study abroad)		0.0		
Don't know the person's association with IUSB		1.9		

Table 1.15: For those reporting <u>domestic or dating violence</u>, where did the incident occur?	%UM (n=8)	%UW (n=52)	%GM (n=2)	%GW (n=9)
On-campus residence hall		3.8		
On-campus non-residence building		0.0		
On-campus outside		1.9		
Off-campus outside		3.8		
Bar, club, restaurant		0.0		
Off-campus residence (your own or other residence)		84.6		
Other		5.8		

Table 1.16: For those reporting <u>sexual exploitation</u>, how is the person(s) who did these things to you associated with IUSB?	%UM (n=3)	%UW (n=15)	%GM (n=1)	%GW (n=1)
Not associated with IUSB		60.0		
Student		33.3		
University professor or instructor		0.0		
Staff or administrator		0.0		
Other person affiliated with an IUSB program (ex., Externship/Internship, clinical placement, study abroad)		0.0		
Don't know the person's association with IUSB		6.7		

Table 1.17: For those reporting <u>sexual exploitation</u>, where did the incident occur?	%UM (n=2)	%UW (n=15)	%GM (n=1)	%GW (n=1)
On-campus residence hall		13.3		
On-campus non-residence building		0.0		
On-campus outside		6.7		
Off-campus outside		26.7		
Bar, club, restaurant		6.7		
Off-campus residence (your own or other residence)		20.0		
Other		46.7		

Table 1.18: For those reporting <u>sexual harassment</u>, how is the person (or the persons) who did these things to you associated with IUSB?	%UM (n=6)	%UW (n=75)	%GM (n=2)	%GW (n=8)
Not associated with IUSB		49.3		
Student		34.7		
University professor or instructor		0.0		
Staff or administrator		2.7		
Other person affiliated with an IUSB program (ex., Externship/Internship, clinical placement, study abroad)		1.3		
Don't know the person's association with IUSB		13.3		

Table 1.19: For those reporting <u>sexual harassment</u>, where did the incident occur?	%UM (n=6)	%UW (n=72)	%GM (n=2)	%GW (n=8)
On-campus residence hall		2.8		
On-campus non-residence building		22.2		
On-campus outside		8.3		
Off-campus outside		16.7		
Bar, club, restaurant		19.4		
Off-campus residence (your own or other residence)		15.3		
Other		15.3		

Tables 1.20 and 1.21 reflect the responses of participants who reported only experiencing sexual harassment at IUSB.

Table 1.20: Did you report your sexual harassment incident or seek help at IUSB?	%UM (n=2)	%UW (n=27)	%GM (n=0)	%GW (n=0)
Yes		11.1		
No		88.9		

Table 1.21: If you did not tell anyone, why? (Participants were asked to mark all that apply)	%UM (n=2)	%UW (n=24)	%GM (n=0)	%GW (n=0)
I felt ashamed/embarrassed		8.3		
It is a private matter—I wanted to deal with it on my own		12.5		
I didn't want the person who did it to get in trouble		4.2		
I feared retribution from the harasser		20.8		
I feared not being believed		16.7		
I thought I would be blamed for what happened		12.5		
I didn't think what happened was serious enough to disclose to others		58.3		
I didn't know reporting procedure on campus		16.7		
I feared others would harass me or react negatively toward me		16.7		
I thought nothing would be done in response		12.5		
I wanted to forget it happened		20.8		
I had other things I needed to focus on and was concerned about (classes, work)		29.2		
Other		12.5		
No experience/I did tell someone		8.3		
I prefer not to answer		12.5		

Additional Disclosures about Experiences Since Coming to IUSB

The following questions were only answered by those who had previously indicated experiencing some form of sexual misconduct (non-consensual sexual touching, non-consensual attempted sexual penetration, non-consensual sexual penetration, domestic or dating violence, stalking, sexual exploitation, and sexual harassment) since coming to IUSB and were willing to share more information about their experience. Participants who had reported experiencing at least one form of sexual misconduct were asked to provide more information about an experience. To maximize responses and information regarding experiences, and to collect information regarding the most common experiences reported to help inform efforts, additional questions about their experience were prioritized in the following order: non-consensual sexual penetration, non-consensual attempted sexual penetration, non-consensual sexual touching, domestic or dating violence, stalking, sexual exploitation, and sexual harassment. The “n” reported in the tables indicates the number of participants who responded to the question. For privacy considerations, some cells have been masked (grayed cells) due to the low number of participants who answered the question (less than 10).

In the following section, unless noted otherwise, participant answers are combined and represent experiences with any type of sexual misconduct since coming to IUSB, including non-consensual sexual touching, non-consensual attempted sexual penetration, non-consensual sexual penetration, domestic or dating violence, stalking, sexual exploitation, and sexual harassment..

Table 2.1: Would you be willing to provide additional details about your specific experience?: “YES”	%UM (n=21)	%UW (n=172)	%GM (n=3)	%GW (n=15)
Percentage among participants who responded “yes” to having experienced some form of sexual misconduct since coming to IUSB (non-consensual sexual penetration, non-consensual attempted sexual penetration, non-consensual sexual touching, domestic or dating violence, stalking, sexual exploitation, sexual harassment) who were willing to provide more details about their experience	19.0	30.2		53.3

Table 2.2: Year in school that sexual misconduct occurred: (Participants were asked to mark all that apply)	%UM (n=4)	%UW (n=52)	%GM (n=0)	%GW (n=8)
First-year undergraduate		50.0		
Second-year undergraduate		46.2		
Third-year undergraduate		23.1		
Fourth-year undergraduate		17.3		
Fifth-year or more undergraduate		5.8		
Graduate/Professional student		*		
Don't remember		0.0		
I prefer not to answer		1.9		

Table 2.3: Who did you tell about the incident? (Participants were asked to mark all that apply)	%UM (n=4)	%UW (n=52)	%GM (n=0)	%GW (n=8)
Friend(s) not at IU		44.2		
Friend(s) at IU		44.2		
Roommate(s)		7.7		
Spouse		5.8		
Romantic partner(s)		25.0		
Parent(s)		26.9		
Other family member(s)		13.5		
Religious advisor/clergy		1.9		
Mental health counselor		17.3		
Medical provider		1.9		
Community victim advocate/services agency		0.0		
Local police		3.8		
Someone who works at IUSB		11.5		
Other		3.8		
I did not tell anyone		19.2		
I prefer not to answer		1.9		

Table 2.4: What kind of responses did you receive from those you told or reported to? (Participants were asked to mark all that apply)	%UM (n=3)	%UW (n=41)	%GM (n=0)	%GW (n=7)
Helped me gather information, or find resources and/or services		19.5		
Responded in a way that made me feel supported		70.7		
Responded in a cold or detached way		12.2		
Connected me with resources		12.2		
Told others about it without my permission		9.8		
Doubted me, asked questions to determine if it really happened, or refused to believe me		26.8		
Blamed me, said I could have done something to prevent it, or asked why I didn't do something to prevent it		22.0		
Minimized the experience or the importance of what happened		26.8		
Made excuses for the person who did this to me		17.1		
Other		17.1		
I did not receive a response		0.0		
I prefer not to answer		2.4		

Table 2.5: The gender of the person who perpetrated the sexual misconduct (the assailant):	%UM (n=4)	%UW (n=52)	%GM (n=0)	%GW (n=8)
Man		96.2		
Woman		1.9		
Non-binary		0		
Agender		0		
Another identity not listed		0		
Don't know		1.9		

Table 2.6: What was your relationship to the other person (the assailant)?	%UM (n=4)	%UW (n=52)	%GM (n=0)	%GW (n=8)
Stranger (someone I had never met or seen before)		25.0		
Acquaintance		25.0		
Friend		5.8		
Family member		3.8		
Ex-romantic partner		9.6		
Current romantic partner, not living together		9.6		
Current romantic partner, living together		7.7		
Other		11.5		
I prefer not to answer		1.9		

Table 2.7: If you did not tell anyone at IUSB, why? (Participants were asked to mark all that apply)	%UM (n=4)	%UW (n=45)	%GM (n=0)	%GW (n=8)
I felt ashamed/embarrassed		24.4		
It is a private matter—I wanted to deal with it on my own		37.8		
I didn't want the person who did it to get in trouble		6.7		
I feared retribution from the perpetrator/assailant		15.6		
I feared not being believed		22.2		
I thought I would be blamed for what happened		15.6		
I didn't think what happened was serious enough to disclose to others		69.6		
I didn't know how to report on campus		6.7		
I feared that I or another would be punished for infractions or violations (such as underage drinking)		2.2		
I feared others would harass me or react negatively toward me		8.9		
I thought nothing would be done in response		31.1		
I wanted to forget it happened		26.7		
I had other things I needed to focus on and was concerned about (classes, work)		44.4		
I was financially dependent on the perpetrator/assailant		6.7		
I was afraid of losing my relationship with the perpetrator/assailant		6.7		
I was fearful of further violence from the perpetrator/assailant if I told anyone		4.4		
I have children with the perpetrator/assailant		2.2		
Other		20.0		
No experience/I did tell someone		0.0		
I prefer not to answer		0.0		

Tables 2.8-2.11 reflect answers provided by those participants who were responding to questions about an incident of nonconsensual attempted sexual penetration or nonconsensual sexual penetration.

Table 2.8: At the time of the incident, did the other person (the assailant) consume alcohol and/or drugs?	%UM (n=0)	%UW (n=11)	%GM (n=0)	%GW (n=1)
Yes		54.5		
No		45.5		
Unsure		0.0		
I prefer not to answer		0.0		

Table 2.9: At the time of the incident, had you consumed alcohol and/or drugs?	%UM (n=0)	%UW (n=11)	%GM (n=0)	%GW (n=1)
Yes		54.5		
No		45.5		
Unsure		0.0		
I prefer not to answer		0.0		

Table 2.10: Do you think someone intentionally gave you alcohol to the point of intoxication for the purpose of sexual contact?	%UM (n=0)	%UW (n=11)	%GM (n=0)	%GW (n=1)
Yes		27.3		
No		72.7		
Unsure		0.0		
I prefer not to answer		0.0		

Table 2.11: Do you think someone intentionally drugged you for the purpose of sexual contact?	%UM (n=0)	%UW (n=11)	%GM (n=0)	%GW (n=1)
Yes		18.2		
No		81.8		
Unsure		0.0		
I prefer not to answer		0.0		

Tables 2.12 and 2.13 reflect answers provided by those participants who were responding to questions about an incident of nonconsensual sexual touching, nonconsensual attempted sexual penetration, or nonconsensual sexual penetration.

Table 2.12: Did this occur at an event associated with the University?	%UM (n=2)	%UW (n=24)	%GM (n=0)	%GW (n=4)
Yes		4.2		
No		95.8		
I prefer not to answer		0.0		

Table 2.13: Did this occur at an event associated with a fraternity/sorority?	%UM (n=2)	%UW (n=24)	%GM (n=0)	%GW (n=4)
Yes		0.0		
No		100.0		
I prefer not to answer		0.0		

II. Student Attitudes, Beliefs, and Educational Experiences

Participants were also asked about their feelings of connectedness and support at IUSB and their attitudes and beliefs about the University's policies and procedures regarding sexual misconduct. Questions from the Alcohol and Sexual Consent scale were included to measure beliefs pertaining to alcohol use and consent among college students (Ward, Matthews, Weiner, Hogan, & Popson, 2012).

Key Findings

- More than 80 percent of all participants said that they feel valued at IUSB.
- 90 percent of undergraduate men, 88 percent of undergraduate women, 92 percent of graduate men, and 93 percent of graduate women participants said they think staff and administrators are concerned about their welfare.
- 86 percent of undergraduate men, 83 percent of undergraduate women, 83 percent of graduate men, and 81 percent of graduate women participants have a good support system on campus.
- 94 percent of undergraduate men, 91 percent of undergraduate women, 88 percent of graduate men, and 98 percent of graduate women participants feel as though the university would take a report of sexual misconduct seriously.
- 95 percent of undergraduate men, 88 percent of undergraduate women, 83 percent of graduate men, and 89 percent of graduate women participants reported feeling safe on campus.
- 80 percent of undergraduate men, 68 percent of undergraduate women, 75 percent of graduate men, and 71 percent of graduate women participants reported feeling safe in the area surrounding campus.
- More than half of all participants know where to get help if they or someone they know experienced sexual misconduct.
- 61 percent of undergraduate men, 65 percent of undergraduate women, 58 percent of graduate men, and 66 percent of graduate women participants reported receiving information about what "constitutes" sexual misconduct.

For the response options that used five-point Likert scales such as strongly agree to strongly disagree, very confident to not at all confident, and very likely to not at all likely, the values reflect the sum of the top two categories (such as strongly agree + somewhat agree).

Perceptions of Campus Environment

The “n” reported in the tables indicates the number of participants who responded to the question.

Table 3.1: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements: (% Strongly agree/Somewhat agree)	UM		UW		GM		GW	
	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
I feel valued at IUSB	81.8	198	85.7	617	82.6	23	88.7	62
I think faculty, staff, and administrators are genuinely concerned about my welfare	90.3	196	88.3	618	91.7	24	93.4	61
I have a good support system at IUSB	86.3	197	82.5	612	83.3	24	80.6	62
I feel safe on this campus	94.9	198	87.5	618	83.3	24	88.7	62
I feel safe in the area surrounding campus	79.8	198	68.2	617	75.0	24	70.5	61
The faculty, staff, and administrators at IUSB treat students fairly	92.4	198	90.3	616	87.5	24	91.9	62
IUSB officials should do more to protect students from harm	33.7	196	43.4	615	54.2	24	48.3	60

For those participants who stated that they believed IUSB officials should do more to protect students from harm, participants were asked what they thought the campus should do. The recommendations referenced most often include improving walking/transit safety and improving the police presence and security on campus. Participant responses fell into the following categories:

Table 3.1q: “What should IUSB do to protect students from harm?” (open-ended)	%UM (n=38)	%UW (n=150)	%GM (n=6)	%GW (n=18)
Improve walking/transit safety such as parking lots, lighting	7.9	18.7		11.1
Improve emergency lights/phones	5.3	8.7		11.1
Cameras	2.6	1.3		5.6
Improve police presence, security on campus	36.8	39.3		50.0
Comments about respecting and listening to students, or issues with reporting incidents	2.6	4.7		5.6
Improve counseling	0.0	2.7		0.0
Improve support system for students	0.0	4.0		0.0
Additional or improved training (e.g., self-defense, emergency)	2.6	3.3		0.0
Additional or improved education and awareness	2.6	8.0		5.6
More locks on doors/problems with locked doors on campus	2.6	6.0		5.6
Allow students/faculty/staff to carry weapons	7.9	2.0		0.0
Improve crime alert system	0.0	0.7		5.6
Improve approachability, awareness, and response of security	7.9	5.3		0.0
Improve conditions/security in surrounding neighborhoods and community	2.6	1.3		5.6
Improve oversight of party culture	0.0	0.7		0.0
Increase likelihood or severity of consequences for offenders	0.0	2.7		0.0
Improve institutional transparency	0.0	0.7		0.0
Other	18.4	8.7		0.0
Things are in good shape already	2.6	4.0		5.6
I don't know/nothing in particular/NA	5.3	2.7		11.1

Table 3.2: If someone were to report a sexual assault or other sexual violence to IUSB, how likely is it that: (% Very likely/Somewhat likely)	UM		UW		GM		GW	
	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
The university would take the report seriously	94.4	197	91.1	620	87.5	24	98.3	59
The university would take steps to protect the safety of the person making the report	93.9	197	90.9	617	87.5	24	96.6	59
The university would take steps to protect the person making the report from retaliation	90.9	197	84.5	619	87.5	24	91.5	59
The university would take steps to ensure due process and a fair investigation of the accused	89.3	197	89.8	619	95.8	24	91.5	59
The university would take corrective action against the offender found responsible	94.9	197	86.9	619	87.5	24	86.4	59
Students would support the person making the report	91.3	196	89.7	619	91.7	24	98.2	57
The alleged offender(s) or others would retaliate against the person making the report	61.7	196	71.9	616	62.5	24	71.2	59

Table 3.3: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: (% Strongly agree/Somewhat agree)	%UM (n=197)	%UW (n=618)	%GM (n=23)	%GW (n=61)
I know where to get help if a friend or I was sexually assaulted or experienced other sexual misconduct	53.3	50.8	69.6	52.5

Participants were asked what their thoughts were on the challenges faced in eliminating sexual misconduct at IUSB. Participant responses fell into the following categories:

Table 3.4q: “In your own words, what do you think are the biggest challenges we face in eliminating sexual misconduct at IUSB?” (open-ended)	%UM (n=133)	%UW (n=431)	%GM (n=15)	%GW (n=41)
These kinds of things are inevitable, uncertain, or uncontrollable	9.0	8.8	6.7	0.0
Changing cultural norms or stigma	9.8	10.9	13.3	14.6
University self-interest, bias, lack of transparency.	1.5	3.9	6.7	2.4
Reporting issues or bias	15.8	17.2	20.0	12.2
Victim blaming, shame, fear	6.0	18.1	6.7	17.1
Determining truth, evidence, rumors	10.5	5.8	6.7	7.3
Punishment/repercussions issues	6.8	9.5	6.7	4.9
Awareness/education regarding safety and risk	20.3	22.3	20.0	22.0
Alcohol, drugs, party/hookup culture, Greek life	6.0	3.2	0.0	4.9
Environment (urban campus, lack of security)	9.0	15.8	6.7	22.0
Lack of diversity/cultural competence/equality	1.5	0.2	0.0	0.0
Things are in good shape already	3.0	1.2	0.0	0.0
I don't know/nothing in particular/NA	9.8	3.5	13.3	9.8
Other	6.8	3.9	0.0	7.3

Trainings on Sexual Misconduct, Bystander Intervention, and Campus Resources

The “n” reported in the tables indicates the number of participants who responded to the question. Cells have been masked (grayed cells) due to the low number of participants who answered the question (less than 10).

Table 4.1: Prior to coming to IUSB, did you receive any education on the following topics: “YES”	UM		UW		GM		GW	
	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Sexual consent	73.1	197	72.1	619	60.9	23	67.2	61
Bystander Intervention	51.0	196	46.1	616	20.8	24	32.8	61
Sexually Transmitted Infections and HIV	86.3	197	87.9	619	83.3	24	85.2	61
LGBTQ+ Relationships	34.9	195	41.3	618	20.8	24	45.9	61
Healthy Relationships	71.6	197	69.9	618	70.8	24	63.9	61
Relationship Violence	69.4	196	69.7	618	54.2	24	57.4	61

Table 4.2: Are you familiar with the It's On Us campaign?	%UM (n=192)	%UW (n=604)	%GM (n=24)	%GW (n=60)
Yes	5.7	4.1	4.2	5.0
No	94.3	95.9	95.8	95.0

Table 4.3: Have you participated in any of the following since becoming a student at IUSB? (Participants were asked to mark all that apply)	%UM (n=53)	%UW (n=151)	%GM (n=5)	%GW (n=16)
MyStudentBody online educational program	30.2	36.4		18.8
BRAVE Programs (such as open sessions in fireside)	13.2	9.9		12.5
Sex Signals	5.7	11.9		6.3
Stages for Change	11.3	8.6		12.5
Specialized programming from the Student Conduct or Title IX Office	26.4	21.2		31.3
Other	30.2	32.5		37.5

Table 4.4: Have you seen or received information from IUSB regarding what constitutes sexual misconduct (including sexual assault, stalking, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual exploitation, and/or sexual harassment):	%UM (n=197)	%UW (n=619)	%GM (n=24)	%GW (n=61)
Yes	60.9	65.4	58.3	65.6
No	14.7	14.2	25.0	14.8
Unsure	24.4	20.4	16.7	19.7

Experiences Discussing and Understanding Consent

The “n” reported in the tables indicates the number of participants who responded to the question.

Table 5.1: Have you ever talked with any of the following about what constitutes sexual consent? “Yes”	UM		UW		GM		GW	
	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Spouse	15.5	193	26.7	599	39.1	23	51.6	62
Romantic/Sexual partner	53.3	195	64.3	610	52.2	23	59.7	62
Parent(s)/Guardian(s)	43.7	197	47.4	608	16.7	24	35.5	62
Sibling(s)	25.6	195	34.4	607	8.7	23	29.5	61
Extended family members	21.8	193	23.5	605	25.0	24	30.6	62
Friend(s)	60.4	197	73.0	615	47.8	23	67.2	61
Teacher/Professor	27.2	195	28.0	607	12.5	24	37.1	62
Mentor/Advisor/Coach	23.3	193	18.6	608	8.3	24	27.4	62
Medical professional	15.0	193	28.8	607	12.5	24	21.0	62
Counselor	14.5	193	22.8	606	12.5	24	29.0	62
Other	6.5	186	6.1	526	0.0	22	22.6	53

Table 5.2a: In your opinion, please indicate whether or not the following demonstrates consent to sexual activity (Men)	UM				GM			
	% Yes	% No	%Unsure	n	% Yes	% No	% Unsure	n
Physical resistance to sexual activity	2.0	95.9	2.0	197	4.2	91.7	4.2	24
Verbal resistance to sexual activity	1.5	96.0	2.5	198	4.2	91.7	4.2	24
Currently in a relationship together	8.1	81.8	10.1	198	8.3	75.0	16.7	24
Previous sexual activity together	4.6	89.8	5.6	197	12.5	83.3	4.2	24
Saying “no”	3.0	93.4	3.6	197	4.2	91.7	4.2	24
Nonverbal physical behavior indicating “yes”	34.3	49.5	16.2	198	16.7	45.8	37.5	24
Not saying anything at all	4.6	85.7	9.7	196	0.0	87.5	12.5	24
Verbally agreeing to the sexual activity	88.9	6.1	5.1	198	87.5	4.2	8.3	24
Incapacitated due to alcohol	1.0	94.4	4.5	198	4.2	87.5	8.3	24
Incapacitated due to drugs	0.0	94.4	5.6	198	4.2	91.7	4.2	24
Existence of a previous relationship together	1.5	90.9	7.6	197	8.3	83.3	8.3	24
Asleep or unconscious	0.5	95.5	4.0	198	4.2	91.7	4.2	24
Remaining passive during the sexual activity	8.2	64.3	27.6	198	4.2	62.5	33.3	24

Table 5.2b: In your opinion, please indicate whether or not the following demonstrates consent to sexual activity (Women)	UW				GW			
	%Yes	%No	%Unsure	n	%Yes	%No	%Unsure	n
Physical resistance to sexual activity	2.9	96.6	0.5	613	3.2	91.9	4.8	62
Verbal resistance to sexual activity	3.9	94.6	1.5	613	3.2	95.2	1.6	62
Currently in a relationship together	5.8	90.1	4.1	617	3.2	93.5	3.2	62
Previous sexual activity together	2.6	94.3	3.1	616	3.2	96.8	0.0	62
Saying “no”	5.8	92.9	1.3	618	4.8	93.5	1.6	62
Nonverbal physical behavior indicating “yes”	22.8	62.4	14.8	614	12.9	71.0	16.1	62
Not saying anything at all	2.3	91.9	5.8	616	1.6	91.8	6.6	61
Verbally agreeing to the sexual activity	91.1	6.5	2.4	618	88.7	8.1	3.2	62
Incapacitated due to alcohol	1.8	96.9	1.3	617	0.0	100.0	0.0	62
Incapacitated due to drugs	2.1	96.9	1.0	616	0.0	100.0	0.0	62
Existence of a previous relationship together	1.6	95.1	3.2	618	1.6	96.8	1.6	62
Asleep or unconscious	1.3	98.0	0.7	615	0.0	98.4	1.6	62
Remaining passive during the sexual activity	5.5	79.8	14.7	618	3.2	85.5	11.3	62

Perceptions of Alcohol and Consent

The “n” reported in the tables indicates the number of participants who responded to the question.

Table 6: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements: (% Strongly agree/Somewhat agree)	UM		UW		GM		GW	
	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
The more alcohol a person has consumed, the less able they are to consent to sexual activity.	88.6	193	90.6	604	91.7	24	94.9	59
Alcohol or drug use makes a person more vulnerable to sexual assault.	92.2	193	89.4	602	83.3	24	96.7	61

IV. Implications of Survey Findings

The findings from the IUSB Community Attitudes and Experiences with Sexual Assault and Misconduct Survey will continue to be used to inform the development and enhancement of sexual violence prevention and response efforts, including education, interventions, policies, and practices.

The highest response rate by undergraduate women participants for a reason for not telling anyone about the incident was “I didn’t think what happened was serious enough to disclose to others” within the 2019 survey. This indicates that IU South Bend must ensure we effectively communicate the definitions, gravity, and impact of sexual misconduct. This will be assessed in foundational information sessions and advanced programming. Additionally, we will want to ensure the language in course and campus materials reflects this same messaging.

Additionally, undergraduate women participants reported they most often told a friend when an incident did occur, and overall participants reported an increase in agreement that they “think they can do something about sexual misconduct”. This shows suggests IUSB students have a vital role as peers in helping prevent and respond to sexual violence. The campus will use this data to align our programming for not only victims of sexual violence, but also their allies and friends. Campus support offices are currently developing curriculum specific to being a good ally, and integrating ally training to its current bystander intervention program.

There was an increase in participants indicating that they believe “the alleged offender(s) or others would retaliate against the person making the report”. Also, undergraduate and graduate student participants alike indicated very high rates of agreement that the University would take steps to protect the person making a report from retaliation. This shows students are concerned about retaliation, but believe the University has measures in place to respond. The University will work to ensure that information about prohibitions on retaliation are more widely communicated, and offer educational opportunities surrounding safety planning with our local advocacy center. This will ensure students understand that it is a serious concern and know their options.

For undergraduate men and women participants, and graduate women participants, instances of sexual misconduct experienced prior to coming to IU South Bend exceeds, in every case, those experienced while at IU South Bend. This is consistent with 2016 data. This continues to highlight that the campus needs to make sure all incoming students (including graduate students) know about support services and resources available on the IUSB campus. Knowing the majority of student enrollment comes from the surrounding counties, IUSB will also need to continue discussions with local schools, the county Special Victims Unit and community providers to assess what prevention and response programs can help address sexual misconduct for our region.

APPENDIX A: SURVEY METHODOLOGY

During the spring 2019 semester, a survey on *Community Attitudes and Experiences with Sexual Assault and Misconduct* was emailed to Indiana University students. Email recruitment of matriculated undergraduate and graduate students was conducted separately for each IU campus. For the IU Bloomington and IUPUI campuses initial email recruitment targeted a random sample of approximately half of the student body not including the School of Medicine, while all other IU regional campuses conducted a census survey of the entire enrolled student body of each individual campus. The Executive Summary of each campus report details numbers of those who received initial recruitment contact messages and those who completed a majority of the survey providing the data reported for each campus.

Recruitment occurred through February and March 2019, with initial recruitment messages sent by the respective campus Provost or Chancellor, and two subsequent emails from senior Student Affairs leadership (e.g., respective campus Dean of Students) encouraging participation. Each recruitment email included information about the importance of the survey and a link. The results presented in the public reports were compiled from participants who completed at least 50 percent of the survey, were age 18 years or older, were not online-only students, and self-identified their sex/gender as male or female. The public reports for IU Bloomington and IUPUI also include data from self-identified transgender participants. Participants were given the option to select “I prefer not to answer” in many questions of the survey due to the sensitive nature of the subject matter, and were only asked certain questions based on previous answers, resulting in the fluctuating number of responses per question.

Data were collected from students identifying as transgender, non-binary, agender, and other gender identities. While collected, we do not report on all aggregate gender identity findings because the number of individuals in these groups was relatively small and doing so might compromise anonymity. For the purposes of the IU Bloomington and IUPUI public reports sample size was sufficient to report a transgender category that included transgender women and transgender men, allowing for some assessment in light of each subgroup being quite small. The data from these groups will be used to help guide the creation of gender-inclusive educational and prevention efforts.

Contact lists of students was generated by University Institutional Research and Reporting. All data was collected over the internet via the Indiana University Center for Survey Research (csr.indiana.edu), a research center of the Office of the Vice Provost for Research (OVPR) at IU Bloomington. The link directed participants to a consent page that contained detailed information about the study, as well as information regarding additional campus resources related to sexual violence. Each survey page also included a banner with a direct link to www.stopsexualviolence.iu.edu, the university’s comprehensive site for resources, programming, and policies regarding sexual misconduct and sexual violence. Participants were told the study was on attitudes and experiences with sexual assault and other forms of sexual misconduct, that their responses would remain anonymous to university officials, and that they could end the survey at any time. The total survey contained 95 questions, but participants may have received slightly more or less questions due to the skip logic of the questionnaire. The survey included a page at the end thanking the student for their responses and offering a comprehensive list of resources (e.g., counseling services, medical resources, police department, etc.). If students chose to participate, they were automatically entered for a chance to win a \$50 Amazon gift card, which were awarded shortly after the survey was taken offline. Financial support for the incentives and data analysis was provided by IU. All institutional research procedures were consistent with Human Research Protection Program Policies.

The survey tool and research procedures, based on the tool and procedures used for IU Bloomington in fall 2014, were developed through a collaborative process between faculty, staff, and students. Study procedures were developed with faculty from the Kinsey Institute along with the Center for Survey Research, Office of Institutional Equity, Office for Sexual Violence Prevention and Victim Advocacy at IU Bloomington, Office of Equal Opportunity at IUPUI, and input from key administrators across Indiana University. Campus-specific questions were created with collaboration of campus leaders. The survey tool was based on similar items previously used, current academic literature, and on materials used by other

higher education institutions that have conducted their own campus climate reports on sexual misconduct. Data management and analyses were conducted by the IU Center for Survey Research.

Statistical weights were applied to analyses to facilitate accuracy of entire campus assessment and implementation. Weights were developed based on three demographic variables available from matched institutional records: sex/gender, class level, and race/ethnicity. Prior to calculating weights, University Institutional Research and Reporting (UIRR) examined respondent counts and collaborated with faculty and staff investigators to determine a weighting design. Base weights were calculated as the inverse probability of being selected into the sample for each campus. The weights were then calibrated to population proportions using a method of post-stratification called iterative proportional fitting (a.k.a. raking). Finally, weights were examined for extreme values and, if needed, trimmed prior to appending the weights to the response dataset.

Resources

Given the sensitive nature of the survey, information about campus resources was continuously provided throughout the survey referencing Indiana University's website for information regarding sexual misconduct. Participants were informed about the nature of the survey before consenting to participate, and campus resources were provided to all participants who completed the survey. To report sexual misconduct or learn more about campus resources, policies, and procedures, visit stopsexualviolence.iu.edu.

For contact information for the IU Police Department or medical assistance, please visit:
<https://stopsexualviolence.iu.edu/help/iusb/index.html>

For contact information of available confidential resources at IUSB, please visit:
https://stopsexualviolence.iu.edu/help/confidential_resources.html

To make a report of sexual misconduct or find contact information for the appropriate IUSB offices, please visit: <https://stopsexualviolence.iu.edu/report/iusb/index.html>

APPENDIX B: DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF SURVEY PARTICIPANTS

The “n” reported in the tables indicates the number of participants who responded to the question.

<i>Year in School</i>	%UM (n=198)	%UW (n=619)	%GM (n=24)	%GW (n=62)
First-year undergraduate	24.2	28.3	*	*
Second-year undergraduate	19.2	17.8	*	*
Third-year undergraduate	19.7	19.2	*	*
Fourth-year undergraduate	17.7	19.9	*	*
Fifth-year undergraduate	10.6	9.4	*	*
Sixth-year or more undergraduate	7.6	4.7	*	1.6
Graduate or professional student	0.6	0.5	100.0	98.4
Not seeking a degree	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
Other	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0

<i>Ethnicity</i>	%UM (n=198)	%UW (n=620)	%GM (n=24)	%GW (n=62)
Asian	1.0	0.8	4.2	0.0
Black/African American	3.0	6.8	4.2	8.1
Hispanic/Latino	12.6	9.4	4.2	8.1
International	2.0	2.9	12.5	1.6
Multiracial or Other	7.1	4.8	4.2	3.2
White	74.2	75.3	70.8	79.0

<i>Sex Assigned at Birth</i>	%UM (n=198)	%UW (n=618)	%GM (n=24)	%GW (n=62)
Female	3.0	99.7	0.0	100.0
Male	97.0	0.3	100.0	0.0
Intersex	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Sexual Orientation	%UM (n=198)	%UW (n=620)	%GM (n=24)	%GW (n=62)
Straight / Heterosexual	87.4	83.7	83.3	85.5
Gay / Lesbian	5.1	1.6	8.3	1.6
Bisexual / Pansexual	4.0	11.8	8.3	12.9
Asexual	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0
Queer	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Questioning or Unsure	1.5	1.6	0.0	0.0
Another identity not listed	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
Prefer not to answer	2.0	0.5	0.0	0.0

Living Situation	%UM (n=197)	%UW (n=620)	%GM (n=24)	%GW (n=62)
On-campus housing/residence hall	10.7	10.2	4.2	0.0
Apartment	14.7	16.3	25.0	4.8
Rented house	8.1	10.0	0.0	12.9
House owned by myself/family/spouse	66.0	62.9	70.8	79.0
Other	0.5	0.6	0.0	3.2

Who do you live with? (Participants were asked to mark all that apply)	%UM (n=198)	%UW (n=620)	%GM (n=24)	%GM (n=62)
Friends/roommates	19.7	16.5	4.2	1.6
Spouse/partner	12.6	16.0	58.3	62.9
Girlfriend or boyfriend	7.1	13.2	0.0	8.1
Parents	57.1	50.5	4.2	14.5
Children	5.1	10.2	29.2	35.5
Other family members	15.2	13.7	8.3	4.8
Other students	3.5	4.5	8.3	0.0
Alone	4.5	4.8	20.8	6.5
Other	2.0	0.5	0.0	1.6

College Athletics (Participants were asked to mark all that apply)	%UM (n=197)	%UW (n=619)	%GM (n=24)	%GW (n=62)
Varsity Athletics	6.1	3.6	0.0	1.6
Club Sports	5.6	3.6	0.0	1.6
Intramural Sports	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
None of the above	82.7	92.1	95.8	96.8

Are you a member of a social fraternity or sorority?	%UM (n=198)	%UW (n=619)	%GM (n=24)	%GW (n=62)
Yes	3.5	2.7	0.0	6.5
No	96.0	95.6	100.0	93.5
I am participating in fraternity/sorority “rush” or recruitment or member intake	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0
Unsure	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0

Relationship Status	%UM (n=192)	%UW (n=605)	%GM (n=24)	%GW (n=62)
Single, not dating anyone	45.3	29.8	20.8	17.7
Casually dating	10.9	7.8	8.3	1.6
In a committed romantic relationship, not living together	24.0	31.4	8.3	6.5
In a committed romantic relationship, living together	7.8	16.9	0.0	21.0
Married	10.4	11.6	58.3	51.6
Separated	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
Divorced	0.5	1.2	4.2	1.6
Other	1.0	1.3	0.0	0.0

Is there anyone in your life with whom you feel you can really share your private thoughts and feelings?	%UM (n=193)	%UW (n=603)	%GM (n=24)	%GW (n=61)
Yes	88.1	91.0	79.2	95.1
No	11.9	9.0	20.8	4.9

Health Rating	%UM (n=193)	%UW (n=602)	%GM (n=24)	%GW (n=60)
Excellent	22.3	11.6	12.5	16.7
Very good	34.2	40.2	37.5	48.3
Good	30.1	32.1	41.7	26.7
Fair	9.8	12.5	8.3	6.7
Poor	2.6	3.0	0.0	1.7
I don't know	1.0	0.7	0.0	0.0

APPENDIX C: ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS ASKED

The following questions were also included as part of the survey, but the frequency of responses (n) for each of these questions across all categories (UM, UW, GM, GW) was less than 10. Therefore, no data can be provided in this report for the following questions due to privacy considerations. The questions are represented in bold text; answer options provided to participants follow in parentheses.

Sexual Misconduct Experiences

Among those who reported experiencing sexual harassment since coming to IUSB: Please indicate the individual(s)/office(s) to whom you reported the incident: (Participants were asked to mark all that apply) (Office of Student Conduct; University Title IX Coordinator; Faculty; Staff/Administrators; Dean of Students; Office of the Vice Chancellor for Student Engagement and Success; Director of Affirmative Action & Campus Diversity / Deputy Title IX Coordinator; Indiana University South Bend Police Department; Student Counseling Center; Health and Wellness Center; Residential Advisor; Other; I prefer not to answer)

How helpful were the people you told at IUSB in assisting you with the problem (the reported sexual harassment)? (Not at all helpful; Only a little helpful; Somewhat helpful; Very helpful; I prefer not to answer)

At the time, was the other person (the assailant) affiliated with Indiana University? (Yes; No; Don't know)

Was the other person (the assailant) affiliated with a fraternity/sorority? (Yes; No)

Did you seek medical attention after the incident? (Yes; No; I prefer not to answer)

Among those who said they reported sexual misconduct to someone at IUSB: Please indicate the individual(s) or office(s) to whom you reported the incident: (Participants were asked to mark all that apply) (Office of Student Conduct; University Title IX Coordinator; Faculty; Staff/Administrators; Dean of Students; Office of the Vice Chancellor for Student Engagement and Success; Director of Affirmative Action & Campus Diversity / Deputy Title IX Coordinator; Indiana University South Bend Police Department; Student Counseling Center; Health and Wellness Center; Residential Advisor; Other; I prefer not to answer)

To what extent was IUSB helpful in assisting with the problem? (Not at all helpful; Only a little helpful; Somewhat helpful; Very helpful; I prefer not to answer)

For those participants providing additional details about an incident of non-consensual attempted penetration or non-consensual penetration:

Which of the following substances did the other person (the assailant) consume? (Participants were asked to mark all that apply) (Alcohol; Marijuana; Prescription drugs; Other illicit drug; Unsure; I prefer not to answer)

Which of the following substances did you consume? (Participants were asked to mark all that apply) (Alcohol; Marijuana; Prescription drugs; Other illicit drug; Unsure; I prefer not to answer)

For those reporting sexual exploitation or sexual harassment, did some of the behavior occur online? (Yes; No)

You previously indicated you experienced sexual exploitation since coming to IUSB. Please indicate whether you experienced any of the following: [Sharing of sexual materials without your permission; Receiving unwanted explicit materials; Peeping; Being watched while engaging in sexual behaviors by a third party without consent; Engaging in sexual behaviors with a person who was knowingly exposing you to sexually transmitted infections; Some other form of sexual exploitation] (Yes; No)

For those answering about an incident of sexual exploitation: How has this affected your ...? [Friendships; Romantic/intimate relationships; Academic performance; Mental health; Physical health] (Negatively, Positively; Not at all; I prefer not to answer)

REFERENCES

Banyard, Victoria L., Moynihan, Mary M., & Plante, Elizabeth G. (2007). Sexual violence prevention through bystander education: An experimental evaluation. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 35(4), 463-481. doi: 10.1002/jcop.20159.

Classan, C. Palesh, O. and Aggarwal, R. (2005). Sexual Victimization: A Review of Empirical Literature. *Journal of Trauma, Violence and Abuse*, 6(2), 103-129.

Fisher, B.S., Cullen, F.T., & Turner, M.G. (2000). The sexual victimization of college women. Washington, D.C.: National Institute of Justice.

Ward, R. M., Matthews, M. R., Weiner, J., Hogan, K. M., & Popson, H. C. (2012). Alcohol and sexual consent scale: development and validation. *American Journal of Health Behavior*, 36(6), 746-756. doi: 10.5993/AJHB.36.6.3

Publication Date: 5/2/2020